

Dok 6

SUMMARY OF THE ONE

The Principles:

- The Principle of equivalence, with special form of retaliation.
- The principle of relativity of values – complementary to pr. Of absolution of values.
- The pr. Of – All but nothing – or totality: Sentences and morals claim totality.
- The pr. Of analogy or correxpndence or association.
- The pr. Of SO-SHOULD-Sentences: The SHOULD results from the relation or HOW of the SO-mode. In analogy: The NOT-SO from the SO-mode.
- The pr. Of correspondence of levels of implicit levels of morals, language, systems, structures. The score of explicit and implicit forms.
- Separately: The semantic deep structure: Form and content are formally pure structures.
- The pr. Of autonomy: The contending of unities for identity, for the one, for the nought-level.
- The principle of equivokation of categories. Or: Gradually Transgression of levels.
- The pr. Of pure claim: Appeal. Results of morals and language by claim, independent from form , content, structure. The faith to claim or appealing is guilt.
- The pr. Of non-causality and interaction. Beyond space-time, beyond causality and interaction.
- The pr. Of absurdity: Dilemmata, absurdities, antinomies and contradictories – that is: All sentences – non-valid/non-sound/non-correct – are set as correct ones by fuzzy-logics.
- The principle of THREE: Total taboo, guilt, harrasment. Mostly transported by Telepathy.

The method: Axiomes: Immediately evidentsentences or values. Principles are stated, derived from axiomes. Positivly: Derived intuitively, by association or analogy. Further the method of minimal condition and tautology as verification and falsification. Association/Analogy: Concluded to sentences or values. Conclusion: Deductive or intuitively or by association derived and created. By minimal condition and tautology.

CANT-manifesto:

Circle of anonymous Non-Telepaths

- Telepathy, Non-Telepathy, telepathic harrasment and telepathic taboo is stated as false diagnose as schizophrene/paranoia/autistic – and met in psychiatry. Though t./n.t./t.m./t.t. Are definitely no psychosis.
- There is no adequate etheora nor practice in colloquial speech, customary law, medicine or science aboout telepathy, non-telepathy, telepathic harrasment, telepathic taboo. It is occultated, delimited.
- Non-Telepaths are psychotized, conditioned, pressured, mobbed – by a primitive method: The conditioned acustic stress or directly telepathic harrasment or total brake or interruption: Non-Telepaths are made outlaws without legitimation – for they do not exist. Mobbed by reflex of glottal stop with reciprocal result.
- The telepathic practiceand mobbing-method is conditioned by total taboo: The dignity of harrasment is inviolable. To make it topic is stigmatized py psychiatry. The facts of telepathy and nontelepathy are outlawed.
- These methods against nontelepaths are non-moral, irrational, sadistic, fascistic: against the fundamental laws and human conditions.

Therefore: It is claimed:

- Legalization and topicalization and desoccultation of telepathy, nontelepathy, telepathic taboo and harrasment as competence of normal human. Definitely and explicitly.
- Legalization and topicalization and desoccultation of nontelepathy as disabled instead of psychosis.
- Outlawing or proscription of telepathic taboo and harrasment as sadistic, fascistic, against

fundamental laws and human rights and conditions. If harassment and pressure – so directly, telepathically, under normal delimitation.

- Topicalization of telepathy and harassment and taboo even of non-telepathy and of unwritten telepathic practices and laws.
- Desoccultation of t./n.t./t.h./t.t. Under condition of normal delimitation. That is to be performed in colloquial language, customary law, medicine and science, definitely and explicitly. Outsourcing of an adequate communication about telepathic phenomena, taboo and harassment.

Commentary:

Telepathic competence is evidently stated as competence not of the ego and as non-knowledge. So that the normal human is able to delimitate it from his ego and from knowledge. The traditional brake or limitation between ego and non-ego, between knowledge and non-knowledge still is virulent.

Further even in parapsychology and in esoterics there is a total taboo about this fact of telepathy, no desoccultation, still total delimitation. For – it is still reclaimed the paradigm of Newtonian physics and Euclidean geometry, which defends from topicalization of telepathic competence and phenomena. Therefore science, law, medicine and customs must outsource a way of desoccultation and a mode of communication about that fact. Leave the traditional paradigm.

Sentences, derived from the principles:

- The complement-sentence: The unity of poles, as the nought and the whole, the being and the becoming, relativity and absolutivity of values.
- The polarity-sentence: values are polar unities or status – are polar points with unconscious, implicit wholeness.
- Values are as value relative to the status but stated as absolute in language and morals. Laws claim absolutivity.
- Focussing, values as good or beautiful, truth or luck – or values of existence as the good, god, immortality, guilt – are focussed, based on constances.
- The antinomy of knowledge: Either there was only the being without knowledge or only as knowledge. But verily both is true: The as minimal interaction – knowledge as minimal being. They are complementary.
- The antinomy of determinism: Either there was only causality and determination or causality with chaos. Verily both is true: Causality within causality and vice versa: By voluntary act or initial spark of free will.
- Humour and art: Formally endless, but verily end and form are indivisibly, complementary. Humour and art, Humour, art, morals, language – the open sign: ...is working by pure appeal: „THAT should work!“ - in that way, that and not-that. By shocking of pure form humour, art, moral, speech and meaning of sign is created. Shocking by contrast of lie and truth.
- Evolution of morals: ...is working by existential stress or analogy and motivation within convention: So a system or structure is developed. Structure, morals and language. That is – in static and dynamic, in interaction between top and base, between levels of score / stratification.
- Retaliation: according to the principle of analogy by the constancy of guilt and cause. Primarily each retaliation is just mimicry with the end of maintenance of power and order.
- Mobbing/harassment/stress/pressure: It seems to be a constancy, as beauty or contending of consciousness for power, love, knowledge. Stress or harassment is stated as initial spark.

Normative Ethics:

The self or the ego – Is a point in consciousness, a spark of the one. It is contending for the one, for power, love, knowledge, for the nought-level. It is fight and play, awaking and dancing, theatre. Its conditions are guilt, knowledge, freedom of choice. All is fight and play, all is dancing, dream, theatre.

All is contending for the one, for the level of nought.

The resulting law is the setting of fight and play for the self's dignity as rule or law: Acting and non-acting in balance of powers, in fight and play for the own dignity, for identity, for self-mindfulness. By the way to the one. Motto: Slaves are made by force – the free one must create himself. -

- Cognition and the constancy of guilt are ambivalent: Original guilt is equivalent to original cognition and complementary to final blessing.
- The elite has the status of being between the poles of static and dynamic. There is an interaction or feedback between top and base.
- Claim and further, authority or lascivitiy: Equally by which method – both modes may be the consequence. Which works is the pure appeal.
- The human rights: There shall be stated the claim: Principially freedom and dignity and identity shall be stated as freedom of decision or choice. The spark of free will is thus valid as standard. Esteem of the human includes as well self-esteem and vice-versa. Thus esteem of the own dignity or identity. By community, ethnos, language, creed, culture, class is claimed as ethical standard – a must. Derived from the SO-mode or THAT-mode. In analogy the NOT-SO or NOT-THAT is derived from the SO or THAT.
- The formula for community is stated according to the principle of autonomy: The community, the unity in language, culture, cree as form – has the same constancy of existence as the ego. Therefore results the standard for the claim of the own dignity or identity or for self-esteem. Self-esteem as elementary principle.

According to the motto:

- Who has the faith in THAT, has the guilt. - The good one. The faithful is always the guilty, the loser. This is the meaning of good, faith, evil, sin. That ist the foul. All against one, each against each other. That means good de facto: Stress under the belt, under taboo, the principle of THREE.

Result: For not to fall under guilt – one has to become evil. Even for escaping out of samsara. Who has the faith – is condemned. So even in analogy in the revelations and in expertises: Total taboo, total harrasment, total bluff. Human deeds, feeling, thinking and human communication has the condition of existence-paradoxon. It is endless or senseless. According to the motto: - Give up – or resist! - Both results in total brake or interruption. Interruption instead of interaction. The consequence is the initial spark. This leads to free will for not-reincarnation. Not sick of live but in contrary: The will for immortality. Time-space, matter, the corps, the ego – that is just a dream, a wave. Motto: - The result is the MUST to awake. Sleeps brother – is not death – but life. -

Treason is a question of time:

These are only instincts, translated in morals. Word is without end, without value. The courage will be infamed as fascistic or original sin or total taboo. The claim works by stress or harrasment. That is important: Harrasment and pressure. Knowledge – learning – method – intelligence – wisdom – what means that? Not obeying to instructions, not education, that is moral of police or psychiatry. Result: Knowledge, learning, wisdom. Knowledge is darkness, the nought, the hole. Not brightness, not the principle of three. Learning means critical transfer, wisdom means doing by oneself, even by undergone guilt But free from fuilt and taboo. Not thinking, not-knowledge. Teachers, docters, police – these are claiming total taboo, total brake, are claiming a monopole of power and truth. But the free one makes it by oneself. Samisdat: The principle of self-esteem and the spark of free will. What is the most important? The decision, the KAIROS by EPOCHE by ETOR. Tautologically true is only: The most important – ist the important.

Form of arguments:

The method: Conclusion derived from the principles of logic. By minimal condition and tautology.

The rules of logic: - The rule of : - sound – valid – correct – as condition.

- The rule of : true – false. - The rule of analogy. - The rule of conclusion and equivalence. - The rule of identity.

The constancies:

1. The sentence of relativity of values: Or – of absolution. If values are absolute – they are without relation-standard. Values qua value necessarily have a standard frame. Thus there is no absolution of values.

The sentence of absolution: The claim by positive setting becomes absolute value. If values were relative – so they had no claim as standard. Therefore: Values are stated as absolute.

2. The sentence from SO to SHOULD:

The SO-sentence becomes SHOULD-sentence by positive setting. Linear deduction is excluded. The claim as standard is stated positive. The DEON results from the TELOS.

If values are concluded from facts – So they depend from TELOS. Therefore they are not generally valid. Thus: The claim as standard demands positive setting by induction.

In analogy: As the deriving of NOT-THAT or NOT-SO from THAT or SO-mode – the SHOULD-mode is derived from the SO- or THAT-mode.

3. The implicit score:

The sentence of implicit levels separate from deep structure. If there was only the explicit form – So there were no sentences of facts without mode: No standard, no style, nonsense. But stylistic forms are the condition for communication. So there are implicit forms in the score of levels. Separately there exists a deep strature – the logical form plus semantic/morphic/syntactic – sense.

4. The sentence of antinomy:

That means: - The will of a unity for identity. If non-eistence was minimal condition for being and will – So non-will is complement for will, non-existence for being. Therefore there is existence of will and being. The identity of a unity with itself – with the one.

If there was only non-identity – So there was no unity. Thus there is a unity of ego-self-we, of the one. The unity of members of consciousness is constitution for identity.

5. The sentence of complements:

If contraries, adversaries, antinomies and dilemmata have only linear truth – So there is no complexity. But: Experince and language are complex and complementary. Thus there is a complex truth of antinomies as complements.

About empirical induction: Relativity is complement to absolutivity. Existence is complement to the nought. The minimal condition for being is the nought – by tautology. Even in case of proves of god: Minimal condition for esistence of god would be nonexistence.

6. The sentence of standards:

There are rules and standards only separately from end or telos. But: Telos and Deon are complements. If a deon has no telos – So it has no empirical inducted relation. Thus: Deon and Telos are complements. Constitution for Values.

If a deon has a Telos as legitimation – So it has no general value. Thus: The Deon is valid separately from Telos.

7. The sentence of retaliation:

Retaliation or the principle of guilt or equivalence of guilt and cause: Moral guilt is a constitutional constancy for eistence. Causality and Casuality are complements. Thus: There is retaliation as constancy, as constitution for guilt even as for cause.

8. The sentence of pure appeal:

The pure appeal of a rule works separately from forms, semantic, morpic, syntaxe. - If pure authority works, so the end or result can be both – autonomy or authority. Thus education is irrelevant. What works is pure appealing of rules and values

9. The sentence of nonlinearity:

- The linear deduction for verification or falsification is irrelevant in case of rules and values. For linear-deductive systems it can be stated: They are logically/formally criticable by their

axiome. They are empirically not reality for there are non-logical brakes or absurdities. Thus: The non-logical, the non-causal, the beyond space-time is constitutional for reality even as for rules and values.

10. The sentence of evolution of moral:

A moral system arises by positive setting or by evolution. - If the minimal condition of moral is – its arising only by setting or evolution – So it is real as standard. Both extremes are complements. Or: In case of evolution – no claim of validity. In case of pure setting – no natural law. Thus: There is positivism or evolution of moral. But complementary – constituting: By convention and motivation to moral.

11. The sentence of society and community:

The top and the base are real and stand in interaction: - If there is as minimal condition for top no aristocracy – So this total base would be sufficient condition for setting of the top.

- If there was – as minimal condition for base only the top – This total aristocracy would be condition for setting of the base. Thus: There are as complements top and base.

- As minimal condition for interaction: In case of totality without interaction – That would be the minimal for feed back between top and base. - In case of total ochlocracy without interaction – That would be minimal for top and base. Thus the positive claim of validity of interaction between top and base is related as social standard related from the facts.

12. The sentence of being:

What exists: The existence, what there is, the being as minimal or tautology. The nonexistence or the nought as condition for being. In analogy: Thus there are constancies in empirical induction and deductive theory. The method for its relation shall be: The sentence of identity. The sentence of tautology. The minimal condition. The implication. Thus: Contraries, adversaries, antinomies, dilemmata – are constitutional constancies. The non-causal and beyond-space-time. The facts are related from empirical induction, evidence intuition, association, empathy and at last – deductive conclusion.

13. The principle of THREE:

The evident facts of stress-pressure-harassment, total taboo, total brake, total guilt: This constitutes the principle of THREE. It is assumed as existential constancy. By communicative methods as total taboo, total interruption, total guilt. By telepathy – telepathic harassment. By telepathic media, in contradictory sense, on implicit levels of score and in deep structure. This total stop of communication in colloquial speech, customary law medicine and science and justice – by negative logic, negative moral by hatred-fear-ly as reciprocal effect of interruption, by total taboo, total guilt, total brake – by acoustical conditioned or by directly telepathical harassment – shall be reached by the total nought or total end.

Argument: Related from the axiome of identity: The contending of each unity for identity with its ego, with the one, with total nought – as complement the contrary – the total interruption is thus stated. That is the cause of harassment or total taboo. The constitution of the sentences of identity, existence and constancy legitimate harassment, stress, pressure and total taboo as constancy. This constancy is immediately evident by empathy and intuition. Mobbing is valid as injury, even as general law. The one, the nought conditions the principle of THREE – THREE conditions the one and the nought.